UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
	V. Wade Edward Brooks Defendant	Case No. 1:17-mj-00032			
	ofter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, of efendant be detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require			
	Part I – Findings of	f Fact			
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S. a federal offense a state or local offense that would existed – that is				
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), which the prison term is 10 years or more.	or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for			
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.				
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in:				
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local of				
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:				
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or destruction a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	ctive device or any other dangerous weapon			
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the d	efendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state			
(3)	or local offense. A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date	of conviction defendant's release from prison for the			
(4)	offense described in finding (1). Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption tha	at no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another			
('')	person or the community. I further find that defendant has not	rebutted that presumption.			
,	Alternative Finding				
<u>√</u> (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has com				
	✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	prescribed in: *			
√ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safe	fety of the community.			
(1)	Alternative Finding There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	ıs (B)			
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safe	ety of another person or the community.			
	Part II – Statement of the Reason	ons for Detention			
	find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention a preponderance of the evidence that:	on hearing establishes by <a> clear and convincing			
 For hi Defer Defer 	ndant has never held employment. is youth, defendant has a lengthy criminal history. ndant has at least one failure to appear. ndant has incurred prior probation violations and revocation. ndant has a history of substance abuse.				

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	February 8, 2017	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	